BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

M.A. No. 640/2015 In Original Application No. 177/2013

Sushil Raghav Vs. State of UP & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present:	Applicant / Appellant	: Mr. R. Venkataraman, Adv.
	Respondent No. 1,2 & 3	: Mr. Raman Yadav, Adv.
	-	Ms. Reena Singh, AAG
		Mr. Dalsher Singh, Adv.
	Respondent No. 4	: Mr. Lalit Kumar, Mr. A.S. Kulshrestha, Adv.
	Respondent No. 5	: Dr. Indra Pratap Singh
	Respondent No. 6	: Ms. Reena Singh and Ms. Bhakti Pasrija Sethi, Adv.
	Respondent No. 7 & 8	: Ms. Sakshi Mehley, adv.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 8 July 29, 2015	Heard. Perused.
	Disobedience of the order dated 03.12.2014 by
	the authorities has prompted the applicant to move this
	application. According to the applicant, the following
6	directions were passed while disposing of the
113	Application No. 177 of 2013:
	1. The respondents shall take immediate action for the purpose of removal of all encroachments over the ponds and other water bodies especially as mentioned in the letter of the Chief Secretary of Government of Uttar Pradesh dated 15.05.2013,
	which are as follows:
	<i>" (i).</i> All kinds of encroachments must be removed from ponds, pokhars, grazing grounds and graveyards situated within the limits of all Municipal Corporations, Municipalities and Nagar Panchayats local bodies of the State.
	(ii). Extensive publicity should be ensured at your level through all print and electronic media for removal of illegal possessions/encroachments upon the aforementioned places.
	(iii). Immediate inquiry must be conducted on the complaints received regarding encroachments and if found, strict action be taken for removal of such encroachments.
	<i>(iv).</i> Videography of these places should be undertaken, before and after anti-encroachments drives.
	(v). Municipal Commissioners of Corporations, Executive Officers of the Municipalities and Nagar Panchayats and the senior-most officers of the



compliance of the directions shall be scrupulously done. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant, however, submits that there may not be acceptance of unconditional apology without the Chief Secretary been directed to pay heavy compensation.

Before we pass any directions, we would like to consider the worth of the unconditional apology in context with the directions which were passed the breach committed and the attending circumstances. The Chief Secretary of the State of Uttar Pradesh may file their reply and a written unconditional apology. Replies shall be placed before us with the explanation as to why there was no compliance of the directions passed by this Tribunal.

List this matter on 6th August, 2015.

.....,JM (U.D. Salvi)

(Ranjan Chatterjee)

,EM